Complex Prepositions in Legal Contexts

Prepositions constitute a closed system of noninflectional function words, the main function of which is to link units and encode the *relationship* between them (cf. Greenbaum/Quirk 1990: 188, Biber et al. 2007: 56). Prepositions are divided into simple and complex:

- 1) **simple prepositions**: (a) monosyllabic, e. g. in, on, which are typically very frequent, unstressed and with a reduced vowel; (b) polysyllabic: derived from a combination of simple prepositions (within), participles (during), borrowings (despite) (Greenbaum/Quirk 1990:190);
- 2) **complex prepositions:** they are defined as "multi-word sequences [that] function semantically and syntactically as single prepositions" (Biber et al. 2007: 75); in English they range from two to four words (save for, in exchange for, as a result of). They may be further divided into: (a) a combination of a simple preposition with a preceding participle, adjective, adverb, or conjunction, e. g. owing to, devoid of; (b) a simple preposition followed by a noun and another simple preposition: in charge of (Greenbaum/Quirk 1990: 190). Complex prepositions arose from productive prepositional constructions through the process of lexicalization (cf. Huddleston 1986: 342); hence, the boundary between a complex preposition and a prepositional phrase is fuzzy in some cases.

Complex prepositions are considered to be a distinctive feature of legal language both in the written and spoken mode: this feature has been confirmed at least for English (Quirk et al. 1985: 672, Johnson/Coulthard 2010: 11), Polish (Łapa 2006), Spanish, and Italian (Pontrandolfo 2013). Their distinctiveness in legal language results from their increased frequency, that is overrepresentation, compared to everyday language. Some complex prepositions may be restricted mainly to legal and administrative language (cf. Quirk et al. for "legalistic or bureaucratic usage" [1985: 672], Charrow/Charrow on vague as to [1979: 1322]).

The overrepresentation of complex prepositions may be attributed to their syntactic and semantic functions. First, since prepositions introduce noun phrases, their frequent use results in nominalizations and contributes to a more formal style. In the same vein, Alcaraz and Hughes (2002: 9) observe that high-frequency prepositional phrases – and they list mainly complex prepositions such as *pursuant to*, *without prejudice to*, *subject to* – create an "archaic or solemn tone". At the semantic level, complex prepositions – as Johnson and Coulthard (2010: 11) note – code more precise meanings than simple prepositions and hence may help reduce vagueness (see also Milewska 2003: 28, Łapa 2006: 359). The disambiguating function is stressed, *inter alia*, by Bhatia (1994: 143), who sees complex prepositions, such as *in accordance with*, *in pursuance of*, as a device used to achieve clarity in legislative writing.

The overrepresentation of prepositions in legal discourse tends to be assessed as overuse. Not only complex prepositions but also prepositions *per se* tend to be evaluated negatively by proponents of the Plain English Movement. Take for example Garner (2002), who argues that prepositions may "take over" the sentence: "Sentences larded with prepositions signal that you're trying to pack in too much information. Readers of legal prose often find themselves … unable to get any air as they are sucked into verbal quicksand" (Garner 2002: 68).

Likewise, Schiess emphasizes that the abundance of prepositional phrases results in a "stilted and choppy" and "awkward" style that is "hard to read and hard to read quickly". On the other hand, Garner (2002: 69) warns against the other extreme – the avoidance of prepositions which results in "noun plague" and reduces clarity. It is because without a preposition, the relationship between units being linked is coded implicitly, and implicitness invites ambiguity.

Despite their prominence in legal discourse, complex prepositions have not received much attention from scholars, except for a few passing mentions and anecdotal evidence. As Johnson and Coulthard (2010: 11) confirm "as yet there is no systematic comparative study of their use [...] across both modes [i. e. spoken and written mode] and in large corpora".



EU law is a melting pot for national legal systems, languages, and cultures and is drafted in a multilingual environment. EU-wide legislation is adopted in 24 official languages and is applicable in 28 Member States. In the case of EU law, the critical determinant of translation quality is its uniform interpretation and application in all the Member States (cf. Šarčević 1997: 73). Under the principles of multilingualism and equal authenticity, all language versions have an authoritative status, that is they are equally valid and presumed to have the same meaning (Šarčević 1997: 64).

Furthermore, unlike in typical translation situations, drafting and translation of EU legislation take place concurrently. Although the proposal for a legal instrument is drafted mainly in English, it is then translated into the other official languages; hence, translation is involved at all stages of the drafting process rather than at the final stage only. The process is, therefore, multistage and multilingual with EU law emerging as a result of translator-mediated institutionally-standardized communication. All these constraints inevitably take a toll on the language of EU law – conceptually, lexically, grammatically and stylistically, creating a hybrid construct.

The hybrid language of multilingual EU law has not been researched extensively (cf. Biel 2014a: 75–83 for an overview), and we still do not have sufficient empirical data on how it differs from national languages of law.



Extracts from a paper written by **Lucja Biel**, University of Warsaw, November 2015

Phraseological profiles of legislative genres: Complex prepositions as a special case of legal phrasemes in EU law and national law

Glenn P. Gipson



A. Answer the questions related to the above thesis extracts.

1. Prepositions are	words that link o	ther parts of the sentence a	and never
	words that link o	ther parts of the sentence a	and never
change form. (one word) 2			
	ire muiti-word pr	nrases that function as a si	ngie preposition.
(two words)			
3. The most common type of multi-w	ord prepositions	is the three-part preposition	n +
+ preposition. (one word)			
4. For English, complex prepositions	(2		
		d spoken mode. (two words	**************************************
5. Since prepositions introduce noun	7-2		
in nominalizations and contributes to	16-11-3		50
6. The frequent occurrence of comple	1711 73		or
tone in writing. (one w			
7. Complex prepositions may help re-		77\	
8. The disambiguating function of co	mplex preposition	ns is used to achieve	in
legislative writing. (one word)			
9. All prepositions tend to be evaluat	ed	by proponents of th	e Plain English
Movement. (one word)	W=		
10. According to (Bryan A.) Garner, i			
writer, you are trying to insert too m	uch	into the sentence. (one word)
11. The avoidance of prepositions red	luces	(one word)	
12. European Union law is a	OT FF	for national legal system	ıs, languages,
and cultures. (two words)	JVK	A WE	
13. EU-wide legislation is adopted in	official la	anguages. (one number)	
14. In the case of EU law, the critical	determinant of t	translation quality is its	
and appli	cation in all the l	Member States. (two words)
15. In EU legislation, the proposal for	r a legal instrum	ent is drafted mainly in	(one
word)	4 6		
16. In EU legislation, translation is in	nvolved at	stages of the drafting [process rather
than at the final stage only. (one wor	d)		
17. The hybrid language of multiling	ual EU law has n	ot been researched extensi	vely; therefore,
we do not have sufficient empirical d	ata on how it	from national l	anguages of law.
(one word)			
s Gler	ın P.	Gipson *	છ



B. Place the nouns from the box into the blank spaces to make accurate complex prepositions.

		accordance addition	behalf charge comparison exception
			se means reference result sake
	. <	Lega	a Griginsai
1. a	as a _	of	7. at the of
		of	8. for the of
3. i	in	with	9. in to
4. i	in	of	10. in to
5. i	in	for	11. on of
6. 1	with _	to	12. with the of
C. Us	e the		the box to complete the following contexts.
		at the expense of	in favor of in the process of
		by virtue of	in lieu of in view of in light of on behalf of
		by way of in case of	in light of on behalf of in line with on the matter of
		in common with	in reference to with regard to
		in connection to	
		in contrast to	in terms of
oaymo 2. A g guard 3. No	ent. guardia liansh rway s	an is appointed by the cou	ed transparencynuclear arsenals.
5. The	e Inter	national Civil Aviation Or	ganization also provided invaluable assistance
		flight information.	
		40 - 14 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15	mport barriers for industrial products and services, it
		lone he	
	94 3000		t has been consulted direct taxation to
		er economic activities.	
		4.5	a growth-oriented approach, all signs of
		ll prove illusory.	0 C.
9. Tw	o repr	esentatives made stateme	
10. C	ountry	y differences	economic vulnerability are considerable.
11. Tl	he Sup	perintendent of Police in K	Kokrajhar has opened an investigation into the murder ar
wo p	ersons	s were arrested	the case on 2 August 2017.



12. This appli	ies particularly	the limited overall budget available for	the
Program.			
13. These mo	tions respond to a concern raised	by government and law enforcement a	gencies
	investigations.	S_ 0. 0	
14. This resu	It is the belief	that R&D in Canada increases a firm's	absorptive
capacity for fo	oreign technologies.		
15. I shall cor	nsequently examine the evidence	these new criteria.	
		using the enterprise's existing	security
nfrastructure	2.		ggerted en transfer (e
17. Eleven co	untries are bu	ilding business engagement strategies.	
		ents set-off against	
	nents, or by other available mean		
		foods composed of a single	ingredient.
Sec. 73399	legislation should be harmonized		
principles.	registation should be narmornzed	internationally dec	epteu
principles.	0		
	R M	2	
only ONE acc	ceptable translation for each ex	rpression. Careful! this is very tricky pression. Below, please find sample l s. For Live Worksheet, drag and drop	French
r			
	at the behest of	A. de la part de	
	at the hands of	B. à cause de	
	at the instance of	C. à condition de	
	at variance with	D. à l'exception de	
-	devoid of	E. à la demande de	
	for the sake of	F. au sens de	
	from want of in comparison with	G. aucune influence surH. conformément à	
	in compliance with	I. contre une peine de	
	in conformity with	J. dans l'intérêt de	
	in exchange for	K. découlant de l'absence de	
1	in pursuance of	L. dénué de	
	in return for	M. en application de	
	in spite of	N. en désaccord avec	
	notwithstanding	O. en dépit	
	on account of	P. indépendamment de	
	regardless of	Q. malgré	
	with the exception of	R. par rapport aux	
	within the meaning of	S. selon	
	without prejudice to	T. sur l'ordre de	



Sample Sentences:

- **A.** Même après avoir suivi un enseignement professionnel, elles font encore face à la discrimination *de la part des* employeurs et de leurs collègues.
- B. Le Parti socialiste a été dissout à cause de certaines déclarations faites par son président,
 M. Perincek.
- C. Une libération anticipée à condition de porter un micro.
- **D.** À l'exception de la Corée, par rapport aux autres pays du monde, les salaires versés ici ne sont pas supérieurs, même en dollar constant avec le dollar américain.
- **E.** Suite à ces accusations, le Parti du Congrès, <u>à la demande de</u> Sonia, a exprimé fortement son soutien à Vadra, accusant Kejriwal de le salir à des fins bassement politiques.
- **F.** Ces mesures peuvent être considérées comme une activité de gestion forestière <u>au sens du</u> Protocole de Kyoto.
- G. La présente décision n'exerce <u>aucune influence sur</u> cette procédure.
- **H.** Nous pensons que ces mesures ont été prises <u>conformément à</u> la législation communautaire.
- I. Le principal Castle a tout avoué contre une peine de 30 ans.
- J. Nous devons parvenir à une position mature, <u>dans l'intérêt de</u> la construction européenne.
- K. Il n'existe aucune craînte de partialité découlant de l'absence d'impartialité structurelle.
- L. Le travail abstrait, c'est le travail <u>dépourvu de</u> toute particularité, <u>dénué de</u> tout sens.
- M. La question de savoir si les décisions rendues par les autorités judiciaires <u>en application de</u> l'article 8 sont ou non sujettes à recours est laissée aux législations nationales.
- N. Enfin, le non-paiement des dettes est passible d'une peine de détention, ce qui aboutit à des traitements dégradants et inhumains <u>en désaccord avec</u> les termes de la Convention.
- O. <u>En dépit</u> de cette réserve, je soutiens la résolution.
- **P.** Le Tribunal devrait s'acquitter de sa tâche avec efficacité et diligence <u>indépendamment de</u> convenances politiques.
- Q. Ces accidents ont eu lieu malgré la législation en vigueur.
- **R.** On réduit ainsi de manière considérable l'encombrement en mémoire <u>par rapport aux</u> procédés connus.
- S. Le nouveau tribunal fonctionnera <u>selon</u> les principes d'indépendance et d'impartialité.
- **T.** Durant le débat, les autres députés de l'opposition ont laissé sous-entendre que les mesures avaient été prises <u>sur l'ordre du</u> premier ministre.



