

Listen to the extract and fill out the gaps

The first law was that a robot couldn't hurt a human being or through inaction allow a human being to to harm. The second law was that a robot had to orders given it by human beings, provided that didn't conflict with the first law. And the third law was that a robot had to protect its own existence, where that would conflict with the first two laws. And after that, I always used them, and the evolved out of those three laws, out of the mutual contradictions, out of the ambivalences, the incongruities, and so on. Well, the interesting thing is that say that when robots are built, that they may be built according to these laws, and also that all science fiction writers have adopted them as well in their story. That's true about the science fiction writers adopting them. They are now taken for granted. No writer actually quotes them, except myself. But the are so used to it now that they know it, and they take it for granted. And somebody writing in an architectural journal pointed out that these laws hold for tools generally. Number one, a tool must be to use. And two, provided it is safe to use, it's got to do what you want it to do. And third, provided it is safe to use and it does what you want to, it's got to last.