Unemployment

Benefit complex

issue economic implement issues manual maximum negative posi tive shifts significant significantly somewhat controversial summary theory

to cut the working week to a 2 of 35 hours. However, this solution is 3 4 as it has both 5 and 6 effects. It is fairly easy to understand the reason why this proposal has been made. The reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9 workers doing 10 hours a day might employ 450 workers. There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13 , we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	it is unquestionable that rising unemployment is one of the most pressing
is 34 as it has both 5 and 6 effects. It is fairly easy to understand the reason why this proposal has been made. The reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9 workers doing 10 hours a day might employ 450 workers. There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13 , we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	1 in the industrial world. One solution that has been put forward is
It is fairly easy to understand the reason why this proposal has been made. The reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9 workers doing 10 hours a day might employ 450 workers. There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13 , we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	to cut the working week to a 2 of 35 hours. However, this solution
It is fairly easy to understand the reason why this proposal has been made. The reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9 workers doing 10 hours a day might employ 450 workers. There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13 , we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	is 3 4 as it has both 5 and 6
reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9 workers doing 10 hours a day might employ 450 workers. There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13 , we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	effects.
There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13, we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	It is fairly easy to understand the reason why this proposal has been made. The reasoning is that if workers are not allowed to work for more than 35 hours weekly, then employers will be forced to engage more staff. There would be at least two advantages to this. Not only would unemployment be reduced, but he working conditions of employees working very long 7 would also be 8 improved. For example, a factory employing 300 9
is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen In 13, we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are 15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	
15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	There is also, however, a strong argument not to 10 This argument is based on 11 competitiveness. If a company was forced to employ more workers to produce the same amount of goods, then its wage bill would rise and its product might become more expensive and less competitive compared to companies with long working weeks. In this case, it is possible that the company either might become insolvent or it would have to make some employees redundant. As a result, the intended 12 to the personnel would not happen
15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week	In 13, we can see that this is clearly a 14 as there are
bacquica it works only in 16 and not in proctice	15 advantages and disadvantages to the proposal. My own personal view is that it would be better not to introduce the shortened working week because it works only in 16 and not in practice.