

## C1.2 EMPOWER C1 UNIT 7. CONNECTIONS EMPON



Listen to three speakers discussing the inventions they propose. Fill in the missing words.

SPEAKER	1 Politicians, media pundits, writers and students get a	way with clair	ms that are not based
on fact. If	I was ruler of the world for a day, I would install 1.	-	apps into our
smartpho	nes, into our computers, so that when facts were brok	cen, when peo	ople told lies, or told
2.	, our phones went 'Brrrrrrr!', or the lines on our o	computer scre	en popped up in red.
That way,	we'd know what was fact and what was 3.	. Of co	urse, I wouldn't apply
it to every	one. I wouldn't apply it to poets, to novelists and others	who specialis	e in <b>4.</b>
ideas. My	aim would be to ensure that we benefit from the age o	f the Internet	of things to have an
Internet o	f facts and ideas, and through this we would hopefully g	et away from	the infuriating
5.	that are being widely disseminated.		
Over time	, good ideas would be spread far and wide, and bad ide	as would be s	een as a joke, rather
than being	the source of misinformation and perpetuating 6.		

SPEAKER 2 The invention I would like to propose is a remote control that can modulate the amount of 7. information you get — the amount of sound, or smell, visual information, etc. I came up with this idea because I cycle around London quite a lot, and every day I notice how much I am 8. the sounds of traffic and the smells of the city and visual advertising and media. I think this is something that in general people deal with in a number of different ways. Often it 9. putting more information or stimulus into your body, like wearing headphones or looking in your phone. A remote control would allow you to tone down what's there. I imagine it would work like a force field you can set at your level, because everyone is different, and everyone has different ideal levels, and it just filters everything that comes in and out.

SPEAKER 3 Well, my idea for changing the world is quite simple, and it can be justified of justice and fairness. It is simply that when someone is interviewed, for a job, for example, that they should have to 12. their appearance. They would have to wear a mask. They would not be able to exploit their, let's say, personal or their social, visual capital. They would have to be judged according to their 13. It would create a level playing field. It would ensure that the best person was recruited to a company – irrespective of whether that person was good-looking or ugly, as conventionally determined. It would serve the interest both of 14. in respect of that person's rights, and it would ensure a level playing field therefore for that reason. But it would also ensure the most meritocratic 15. —the best person would be chosen for the job.



