

One long-distance flight consumes fuel which a car uses in several years' time, but they cause the same amount of pollution. So some people think that we should discourage non-essential flights, such as tourist travel, rather than to limit the use of cars. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Sử dụng những từ vựng sau để hoàn thành bài tập điền từ phía dưới:

Non-essential: không cần thiết	Restricting: hạn chế
Fuel consumption: tiêu thụ nhiên liệu	Replaceable: có thể thay thế được
Comparable: tương tự = similar to	Constant source: nguồn liên tục
Advocates: những người ủng hộ	Initiative: sáng kiến
Greenhouse gasses: khí nhà kính	Awareness: nhận thức
Curtailing: giảm bớt	Alleviating: làm giảm bớt
Leisure purposes: mục đích giải trí	Traffic congestion: tắc nghẽn giao thông
Stark comparison: sự so sánh rõ rệt	Impactful: có ảnh hưởng lớn
Exert: gây ra, tác động	Profound: sâu sắc
Overlooks: bỏ qua	Acknowledge: nhận thức
Sheer volume: số lượng lớn	Promoted: khuyến khích, thúc đẩy
	Preserving: bảo vệ, bảo tồn

It has been argued by some that non-essential flights, such as tourist commuting, should be restricted instead of car use since the _____ (tiêu thụ nhiên liệu) of a long-distance flight is _____ (tương tự = similar to) that of cars in several years' time. This essay completely opposes this school of thought for several reasons outlined below.

Granted, _____ (những người ủng hộ) of limiting non-essential flights may highlight that long-distance flights consume larger amounts of fuel and emit a more significant amount of _____ (khí nhà kính) into the atmosphere compared to cars. This _____ (sự so sánh rõ rệt) leads to the belief that _____ (giảm bớt) air travel, particularly for _____ (mục đích giải trí), could _____ (gây ra, tác động) a positive effect on the air quality and the environment as a whole. However, this argument, in my opinion, _____ (bỏ qua) the _____ (số lượng lớn) of car traffic compared to air traffic on a daily basis. The number of cars on the road tends to far exceed the figure for planes in the sky, showing that daily car commutes and other road transportation collectively may produce far more pollution than occasional flights.

I maintain that _____ (hạn chế) car usage would thus exert a more immediate and profound impact on curbing pollution. Flights are hardly _____ (có thể thay thế được) by any other means of transport due to their speed and convenience, whereas cars, the main and _____ (nguồn liên tục) of pollution, can be easily restricted in various ways. For instance, _____ (nhận thức) the high density of private cars on narrow roads, the Vietnamese government has _____ (khuyến khích, thúc đẩy) cycling and public transport in major cities like Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City. This _____ (sáng kiến) has raised _____ (nhận thức) about reducing car use, _____ (làm giảm bớt) traffic congestion, and improving air quality to some extent.

In conclusion, although some argue that limiting occasional flights is more sensible than restricting car usage, I believe that the latter will have a more immediate and sustainable influence on _____ (bảo vệ, bảo tồn) the environment in the long run.